UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/525,724 | 12/06/2005 | Yoshiaki Suzuki | P26894 | 8295 |
| | 7590 10/22/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L. . | | EXAMINER | |
| 1950 ROLAND | CLARKE PLACE | | ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E | |
| RESTON, VA 20191 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/22/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/525,724 | SUZUKI ET AL. | SUZUKI ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Ramsey Zacharia | 1794 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | ppears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence ac | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statter reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Af | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> | July 2008 | | | | | |
| , — | | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allow | | ters, prosecution as to th | e merits is | | | |
| ٠,١ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-15</u> is/are pending in the ap | olication. | | | | | |
| - | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| - | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner | | | | | |
| , | The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2005</u> is/a | | objected to by the Exam | iner | | | |
| 10/23 | - 1 | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| ,— | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | 10 102. | | | |
| | <u>-</u> | | 2.440(.)(1) (5) | | | | |
| | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) | a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview S | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/08; 9/10/08. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | | |
| . aps | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the
- 3. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rendered indefinite because it is unclear from the language of independent claim 1 whether the tissue adhesive is blended with the ion-modified polymeric material or whether the tissue adhesive is applied to the surface of the polymeric material modified by ion bombardment.

Claim Language

5. For the purpose of examination, the tissue adhesive of claim 1 is taken to be on the surface of the polymeric material modified by ion bombardment.

Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 4-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitmore et al. (US 6,503,527) in view of Suzuki et al. (Ionics, vol. 25, no. 1, pp 47-54). Note: for the above Suzuki reference, this action refers to page and line number of the attached English language translation.

Whitmore et al. is directed to a fibrin glue composition (column 2, lines 23-34). The composition may be used as an adhesive in neurosurgery to anchor dural patches (column 3, lines 1-14).

Whitmore et al. is silent as to the composition of the dural patch.

Suzuki et al. teach an artificial dura material comprising ePTFE subjected to ion beam irradiation to impart cellular attachability (page 5, lines 9-20). The ePTFE is irradiated with Ne⁺ ions at a fluence of 10¹⁵ ions/cm² for the most improved degree of attachability (page 10, lines 9-13).

One skilled in the art would be motivated to use the artificial dura material of Suzuki et al. as the dural patch of Whitmore et al. because it exhibits improved cell attachability and thus would be expected to result in a stronger bond with the fibrin glue composition.

Art Unit: 1794

Regarding claim 8, it would have been obvious to only irradiate the portion of the dural patch on which the fibrin glue is to be applied since it is only where the fibrin glue is to be applied that improved attachability to the fibrin glue would be required.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1794

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (571) 272-1518.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ramsey Zacharia/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794